

# **Society for Conservation Biology**

Use and Misuse of Forest-Harvested Fruits in the Iquitos Area

Author(s): Rodolfo Vasquez and Alwyn H. Gentry

Source: Conservation Biology, Vol. 3, No. 4 (Dec., 1989), pp. 350-361 Published by: Blackwell Publishing for Society for Conservation Biology

Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2386216

Accessed: 22/11/2008 13:45

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <a href="http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp">http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp</a>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <a href="http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=black">http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=black</a>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit organization founded in 1995 to build trusted digital archives for scholarship. We work with the scholarly community to preserve their work and the materials they rely upon, and to build a common research platform that promotes the discovery and use of these resources. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



Blackwell Publishing and Society for Conservation Biology are collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to Conservation Biology.

# Use and Misuse of Forest-harvested Fruits in the Iquitos Area

**RODOLFO VASQUEZ** 

Proyecto Flora de Peru Aptdo. 280 Iquitos, Peru

**ALWYN H. GENTRY\*** 

Missouri Botanical Garden P.O. Box 299 St. Louis, MO 63166, U.S.A.

Abstract: Of 193 fruit species observed to be regularly consumed in the region surrounding Iquitos, Peru, 120 species are exclusively wild-barvested and 19 more originate from both wild and cultivated sources. The wild-harvested fruits of 57 species belonging to 24 different plant families are sold in the Iquitos market and are very important in the economy and diets of the area. Nearly half of the Iquitos fruit vendors sell wild-harvested fruits (if fruits used as vegetables or starch sources are excluded), and over half of the fruit species sold are wild-harvested. Many fruit species consumed at Iquitos differ from those consumed in other parts of Amazonia. Although some native fruit species are beginning to be grown as crops, the wild populations of these high-potential species are being rapidly depleted by destructive harvesting techniques as market pressure begins to build. In the last few years, the availability of several of the most popular fruit species has decreased markedly. If nondestructive sustainedyield barvesting of resources such as wild-barvested fruits is to play its suggested important role in tropical forest conservation, much stronger efforts will be needed to prevent destructive overharvesting of these potentially significant resources.

Resumen: De las 193 especies de frutas que se ha observado se consumen regularmente en el área que rodea Iquitos, Perú, 120 especies son cosechadas exclusivamente en estado silvestres y otras 19 se originan tanto de fuentes cultivadas como de fuentes silvestres. Las frutas cosechadas del ambiente silvestre de 57 especies correspondientes a 24 familias diferentes de plantas, son vendidas en los mercados de Iquitos y son muy importantes en la economía y la dieta del área. Excluyendo las frutas vendidas como vegetales y fuentes de almidón, cerca de la mitad de los vendedores de fruta de Iquitos venden fruta silvestre y más de la mitad de las especies de frutas vendidas son silvestres.

Muchas de las especies de frutas consumidas en Iquitos difieren de aquellas consumidas en otras áreas de la Amazonía. Aunque algunas de las especies nativas del área están empezando a ser cultivadas, la población silvestre de estas especies de alto potencial está siendo rápidamente exterminada por técnicas destructivas de cosecha, al incrementarse la presión del mercado.

En los últimos años, la disponibilidad de varias de las especies de fruta más populares ha decrecido marcadamente. Si se desea que la cosecha sostenible y no destructiva de estos recursos juegue el importante rol sugerido para la conservación del bosque tropical, será necesario incrementar los esfuerzos para prevenir una sobre-cosecha destructiva de estos recursos potencialmente significativos.

<sup>\*</sup> Requests for reprints should be sent to this author. Paper submitted 6/17/88; revised manuscript accepted 5/5/89.

## Introduction

The world's tropical rain forests are being cut down at an alarming rate, often in a one-time exploitation that results in a few years of crops followed by abandonment to permanent scrub or conversion to low-quality pasture. One strategy to slow the wholesale destruction of tropical rain forest is to find ways to utilize these forests as renewable resources. As summarized in a recent Office of Technology Assessment report (1984), "The value of [intact] tropical forests could be increased by developing new products or by encouraging the collection and processing of existing products." Harvesting a variety of natural products from the extant forest, possibly including medicinal plants, fruits, selected timber species, rubber, and wild game, has been suggested as a way to generate such a strong forest-based economy that effective pressure against further forest destruction could result (Myers 1984; OTA 1984). The frequent use by local campesinos of many species of wild fruits supports the possibility that such fruits might be developed as economically significant crops harvested from the intact forest. Indeed, Myers (1984, p. 193) suggests that tropical forest fruits as new foods probably offer the greatest economic promise of any tropical forest product. From a nutritional standpoint, fruits are especially valuable since they are highly "nutrient dense," with high levels of desirable vitamins, minerals, and fiber, but low levels of calories, fats, and other undesirable nutrients (Sims & Peterkin 1987).

During many years of field work in the Iquitos region, we have made numerous incidental observations of use of wild fruits. Part of our purpose here is to document the fruit species that we know to be widely used by campesinos in the Iquitos area and to focus attention on several that seem to have the most economic potential, either as plantation crops or as wild-harvested exports. A second objective is to point out a serious and previously overlooked problem that must be overcome if markets for new fruit species are to be developed.

# **Materials and Methods**

During extensive field work in Amazonian Peru over the past decade, we have recorded the uses of wild fruits by the local populace. In addition, the senior author has visited the Iquitos market (see Padoch 1987) nearly every week for the past nine years in an attempt to identify the wild-harvested fruits on sale and, sporadically, to note their prices. During 1987, the wild-harvested fruits on sale in the Iquitos market, and their current prices, were tabulated monthly.

Tabulation of fruit prices is complicated by Peru's rampant inflation, as well as by the recent conversion from the sol to the inti (= 1000 soles) as the official

Peruvian currency. Prices reported here are for 1987 except as otherwise noted.

Identifications of the plant species are vouchered by collections of the Flora of Peru project deposited in the herbarium of the Missouri Botanical Garden, with duplicates in the herbaria of the Universidad Nacional de Amazonía Peruana and Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos. In many groups, these identifications were provided by taxonomic specialists; in others, they were based on matching with identified specimens in the Missouri Botanical Garden herbarium. In some groups, notably the Sapotaceae, identifications are still very incomplete.

### **Results and Discussion**

### Use of Wild-harvested Fruits

We have observed the use of about 139 wild fruit species by campesinos in the Iquitos area (Table 1). This figure includes 19 species eaten from both wild and cultivated sources and an estimated 16 Sapotaceae species eaten more or less regularly that are difficult to tabulate because of taxonomic problems. Many additional species are probably eaten on occasion, but Table 1 only includes those species we have personally observed to be eaten. Indicated parenthetically but excluded from our calculations are a number of fruits that grow wild in the forests of Loreto and are consumed elsewhere but are not known by us to be widely consumed in Amazonian Peru. Also excluded are fruits that are reported to be consumed by various tribal groups in Amazonian Peru (cf. Prance 1972; Prance et al. 1987; Vickers & Plowman 1984) but that do not seem to have reached the general population.

The taxonomic diversity of the fruits eaten at Iquitos is impressive. Fruits of 39 families are eaten, and 34 of these families include species with wild-harvested fruits. The preeminent fruit-producing family is the Palmae, with 23 species producing wild-harvested fruits eaten at Iquitos; 14 of these species are sold in the Iquitos market. Other important fruit-producing families at Iquitos are the Apocynaceae (8 wild-harvested species, 1 of them also cultivated), Annonaceae (7 wild-harvested and 4 cultivated species), Cucurbitaceae (2 wildharvested and 8 cultivated species), Leguminosae (12 wild-harvested, 1 of which is also cultivated, and 7 cultivated species), Moraceae (10 wild-harvested species, 1 also cultivated, plus 2 cultivated exotics), Myrtaceae (4 wild-harvested species, 1 also cultivated, plus 4 exclusively cultivated species), and Sapotaceae (about 16 wild-harvested species, 1 also cultivated, and 1 exclusively cultivated species). That so many kinds of tropical forest fruits are consumed around Iquitos is noteworthy but not surprising, considering that more than 100 species of fruits, the majority presumably wild-harvested,

Table 1. Fruits consumed in the Iquitos area.

	Vern.				
	name (English			Sold in	Uamoctina
Species <sup>a</sup>	name) <sup>b</sup>	Status <sup>c</sup>	Use	Iquitos <sup>d</sup>	Harvesting method
nacardiaceae					
Spondias mombin (V7031)	Ubos	W/C	Refrescos, ice cream	XX	From ground
(Spondias purpurea					Ü
not used locally)					
Spondias cf. mombin (V10481)	Usbún	W	Refrescos, ice cream	X	From ground
Spondias dulcis	Taperiba	C	Refrescos, ice cream	X	From ground
Anacardium occidentale	Marañón (cashew)	Ċ	Eat "apple"	X	From low branches
Anacardium giganteum (V11552)	Sacha cashu	w	Eat "apple"	No	From ground
Tapirira guianensis	Huiracaspi	w	Fleshy pulp	No	From ground
Mangifera indica	Mango	Č	Eat pulp	XX	By hand or pole
annonaceae	mungo	C	Eat puip	АА	by fiand of pole
Annona excellens	Amona	W	Elashy mula	N.T.	Francisco I amosto a construction
	Anona		Fleshy pulp	No	From low branches
Annona montana	Anonilla	W	Fleshy pulp	No	Picked from low
					branches
Annona bypoglauca	Guanábana sacha	W	Fleshy pulp	No	From low branches
Annona muricata	Guanábana	C	Fleshy pulp, ice cream	$\mathbf{X}$	From branches
Annona squamosa	Anona	C	Refrescos	X	Pole from branches
Annona reticulata	Sacha anona	C	Refrescos	$\mathbf{X}$	Pole
Diclinanona tessmannii	Tortuga blanca	$\mathbf{W}$	Fleshy pulp	No	From ground
Duguetia macrophylla	Tortuga caspi	W	Fleshy pulp	No	Small tree
Duguetia sp. (V10354)	Tortuga caspi	W	Delicious fleshy pulp	No	From ground
Rollina mucosa	Anona	C	Fleshy pulp	X	Pole
Rollina sp. (V1223)	Anona	w	Fleshy pulp	No	From ground
Apocynaceae	1110/14	**	riesity puip	110	rioni giodila
Ambelania occidentalis	Cuchara caspi	W	Pulp (too acid)	No	Emana haanahaa
	•	W/C	Fleshy pulp		From branches
Couma macrocarpa	Leche caspi	W/C	rieshy puip	X	Half from ground,
		****			half cultivated
Lacmellea peruviana	Chicle huayo	W	Fleshy pulp	X	Small tree bent ove
Lacmellea lactescens	Chicle huayo	W	Fleshy pulp	No	From ground
Macoubea sprucei	Yahuarhuayo blanco	W	Pulp (acid)	No	Tree cut
Parahancornia peruviana	Naranjo podrido	W	Delicious pulp	$X^e$	Tree cut
Parabancornia sp. nov.	Naranjo podrido	W	Delicious pulp	No	Tree cut
Rhigospira quadrangularis	Yahuarhuayo colorado	W	Pulp (sweet)	$\mathbf{X}$	Tree cut
Araceae)	J.		• ` '		
(Monstera: not eaten locally)					
Bixaceae					
Bixa orellana	Achiote	C/W	Color and condiment	XX	From branches
Bombacaceae	11031010	O/ **	color and condiment	2621	Trom brancies
Pachira aquatica	Sacha tandisho	W	Seeds eaten raw or cooked	No	From low tree
-	Sacha pandisho	W/C		No	
Quararibea cordata	Sapote		Pulp	XX	Ground
Quararibea ochrocalyx	Machín sapote	W	Pulp	No	Ground
Bromeliaceae		_			
Ananas comosa	<i>Piña</i> (pineapple)	C	Pulp	$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}$	Low plant
(Aechmea magdalenae					
not eaten at Iquitos)					
Burseraceae					
Protium grandifolium	Copal, brea caspi	W	White aril	No	From ground
Protium subserratum	Copal	W	White aril	No	From ground
Caricaceae	•				<b>6</b>
Carica papaya	Рарауа	W/C	Sweet pulp, also	XX	Cauliflorous tree
Survey pupuyu	1 optific		cooked green	122	Cadmiorous aree
(Jacaratia not			cooned green		
eaten at Iquitos)					
* /					
Caryocaraceae		****	0 1	••	
Caryocar amygdaliforme	Almendro	W	Seed eaten raw,	X	From ground or
			much esteemed		climb tree
Caryocar glabrum	Almendro blanco	W	Seed eaten raw,	X	From ground or
			much esteemed		climb tree
Chrysobalanaceae					
Couepia chrysocalyx	Parinari, supai ocote	$\mathbf{w}$	Pulp eaten	X	From ground
Couepia dolichopoda (G27591)	Hamaca buayo	W	Seed eaten raw or cooked	No	Hooked pole
Couepia subcordata	Parinari	W/C	Pulp eaten	X	From ground
Licania sp. (V6374)	Parinari	w	Pulp eaten	No	From ground
Cucurbitaceae	_ MINNI	**	Luip Catch	110	rrom ground
	Sandia (watermalen)	•	Dula estan	vv	Low vinc
Citrullus lanata	Sandia (watermelon)	C	Pulp eaten	XX	Low vine
Cucumis anguria	Pepino (cucumber)	C	In salads	XX	Low vine
Cucumis melo	Melón	С	Sweet pulp	$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}$	Low vine

Table 1. Continued.

	Vern. name				
	name (English			Sold in	Harvesting
Species <sup>a</sup>	name) <sup>b</sup>	Status <sup>c</sup>	Use	Iquitos <sup>a</sup>	method
Cucurbita maxima	Zapallo (squash)	С	Pulp	XX	Low vine
Cucurbita moschata	Zapallo (squash)	C	Pulp	XX	Low vine
Cyclanthera pedata	Caibua	C	In salads or	X	Low vine
			stuffed with meat		
Cyclanthera?	Mashishe	C	In salads	X	Low vine
Fevillea cordifolia	Abiria, habilla	$\mathbf{W}$	Seeds for oil	No	From ground
Melothria pendula	Pepino	$\mathbf{W}$	In salads	X	Low vine
Sicana odorifera	Secana	C	Pulp cooked	X	Low vine
Ebenaceae					
Diospyros (Vasquez 8664)	Camitillo	W	Pulp eaten	No	Small tree
Elaeocarpaceae		*****	*** .		
Muntingia calabura	Yumanaza, cerezo caspi	W/C	Fleshy pulp	No	Low branches
Euphorbiaceae	To all the second of the second	****		**	
Caryodendron grandifolium	Inchi, metahuayo	W	Seeds cooked or mashed and oil used as soup while aqueous part drunk	X	From ground
Hevea brasiliensis	Shiringa	W/C	Cooked seeds	No	From ground
Plukenetia volubilis	Mańi de monte,	W	Cooked seeds	No	Vine pulled down
	sacha inchi	**	(peanutlike)	0	F 00 11 M
Flacourtiaceae	··		×		
Carpotroche longifolia	Champa huayo	$\mathbf{W}$	Tiny vestigial arils eaten	No	From cauliflorous tree
Gnetaceae	* *		, 8		
Gnetum leyboldii	Hambre huayo, bala	$\mathbf{W}$	Seeds cooked	No	From ground or
•	huayo, pajil ruro				vine pulled down
Gnetum nodiflorum	Hambre buayo, bala	W	Seeds cooked	No	From ground or
Considerate although and a	buayo, pajil ruro				vine pulled down
(Gramineae: although grains					
are technically fruits they					
are excluded from this survey)					
Guttiferae	Charichuelo	W/C	Consoct muslim	3737	There
Rheedia benthamiana (V7932)	Charichueio	W/C	Sweet pulp	XX	Tree cut
Rheedia gardneriana (V8304)	Charichuelo	W	Sweet pulp	(Oct–Jan XX	) Branches of small tree
Rheedia brasiliensis (V429)	Charichuelo	W	Sweet pulp	XX	Small tree climbed
This could by the tribute ( 12)		**	oweet pusp	(Jan–Mar	
Humiriaceae				Juli 114ui	,
(Humiria balsamifera					
not used locally)					
Icacinaceae					
Pouraqueiba sericea	Umarí	C	Thin buttery pulp	XX	From ground
Pouraqueiba paraensis	Umarí	W/C	Thin buttery pulp	XX	From ground
Lauraceae					U
Anaueria brasiliensis	Añushi moena	W	Cooked seed	No	From ground
Persea americana	Palta (avocado)	C	Oily pulp	X	Pole or from ground
Lecythidaceae					
Bertholettia excelsa	Castaña (Brazil nut)	C	Seeds	X	From ground
Grias neuberthii	Sacha mango	W/C	Starchy pulp,	$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}$	By hand from trunk
			fresh or cooked		
Gustavia longifolia	Chope	C	Starchy pulp	X	From branches
Lecythis pisonis	Castaña de monte	W	Seeds	No	From ground
Leguminosae					
Arachis hypogaea	Maní (peanut)	C	Seed	XX	Fruit in soil
Cajanus bicolor	Puspu-poroto	C	Seed cooked	X	From low branches
(Campsiandra	(pigeon pea)				
not used locally)					
Canavalia ensiformis	Nescafé	С	For coffee	X	From wine
Dialium guianense	Nescaje Palo de sangre	w	Dry sweetish pulp	A No	From vine From ground
Dipteryx rosea	Charapillo, shirahuaco	w	Seed cooked with	No	From ground
			salt, also for tamales		
Hymenaea oblongifolia	Azucar buayo	W	Sweetish pulp	No	From ground
Hymenaea palustris	Azucar buayo	W	Sweetish pulp	No	From ground
Inga alba	Shimbillo	W	Sweet pulp	X	With pole
Inga aria	Shimbillo	W	Sweet pulp	X	With pole
Inga dumosa	Shimbillo	W	Sweet pulp	X	With pole
Inga edulis	Shimbillo	C	Starchy pod	X	With pole
Inga marginata	Shimbillo	W	Sweet pulp	No	With pole

Table 1. Continued.

	Vern. name				
Species <sup>a</sup>	name (English name) <sup>b</sup>	Status <sup>c</sup>	Use	Sold in Iquitos <sup>d</sup>	Harvesting method
Inga minutula	Guavilla	W/C	Sweet pulp	XX	Hooked pole or climbing
Inga pruriens	Coto shupa shimbillo	W	Sweet pulp	No	With pole
Inga spectabilis	Shimbillo	Ċ	Sweet pulp	X	With pole
Inga sp. (V10552)	Rosca shimbillo	W	Sweet pulp	X	With pole
Phaseolus vulgaris	Frijol (bean)	C	Seed	XX	Low vine
Vigna unguiculata Ialpighiaceae	Caspi chiclayo	C	Cooked seeds	XX	Low vine
Bunchosia armeniaca	Indano	W	Pulp	X	Low branches
Malpighia punicifolia Ielastomataceae	Cerezo	С	Pulp	No	Low branches
Bellucia grossularioides	Nispero, sacha nispero	W	Pulp	X	Hooked pole
Bellucia pentamera	Nispero, sacha nispero	W	Pulp	X	Hooked pole
Clidemia birta	Mullaca morada	W	Berry	No	From shrub
Mouriri acutiflora	Lanza caspi	W	Pulp	No	Hooked pole or climbing
Mouriri grandiflora	Lanza caspi	W	Pulp	No	Hooked pole or climbing
Moraceae					
Artocarpus altilis	Pan del arbol, pandicho (breadfruit)	С	Starchy pulp	X	From ground
Artocarpus heterophyllus <sup>f</sup>	Pandicho (jackfruit)	C	Seeds	No	From trunk
Brosimum guianensis	Mishochaqui	W	Starchy pulp	No	From ground
Brosimum lactescens	Tamamuri	W	Refresco	X	From ground
Helicostylis scabra	Mishochaqui	W	Fleshy pulp	No	From ground
Helicostylis tomentosa	Mishochaqui	W	Fleshy pulp	No	From ground
Naucleopsis concinna	Llanchama	W	Fleshy pulp	No	From ground
Naucleopsis mellobarretoi	Llanchamillo	W	Fleshy pulp	No	From ground
Pourouma cecropiifolia	Ubilla, chimiqua	W/C	Sweet pulp	XX	Hooked pole or from ground
Pourouma minor (2 forms)	Sacha ubilla	W	Sweet pulp	No	Hooked pole or from ground
Pourouma minor (form 3)	Chullachaqui blanca	W	Sweet pulp	No	Hooked pole or from ground
Pourouma cucura	Sacha ubilla	W	Sweet pulp	No	Hooked pole or from ground
Pseudolmedia laevis	Mishochaqui, chimiqua	$\mathbf{W}$	Sweet pulp	No	From ground
Musaceae					
Musa acuminata	Guineo (banana)	C	Sweet pulp	XX	Raceme or culm cu
Musa paradisiaca	Plátano	C	Starchy pulp	XX	Raceme or culm cu
Myristicaceae				3.7	*** - 1 4 1 -
Iryanthera paraensis	Cumala colorada	W	Arils cooked	No	Hooked pole
Iryanthera ulei	Cumala colorada	W	Arils cooked	No	Hooked pole
(Virola surinamensis					
not eaten in Iquitos area)					
Myrtaceae					
Myrtaccac Eugenia stipitata	Arasa	С	Refrescos	X	Pole, from branche
Eugenia sp. (V10116)	Juanache	W	Refrescos	No	From shrub
Myrciaria dubia	Camu camu	W	Refrescos	XX	Picked from shrub
Myrciaria duoid Myrciaria floribunda	Сати сати	W	Refrescos	No	Pole, from branche
Psidium guajava	Guava	C/W	Sweet pulp	X	Pole
Syzygium cuminii	Aceituna	С	Sweet pulp	No	Hooked pole
Syzygium cuminii Syzygium jambos	Pomarosa (rose apple)	č	Sweet pulp	No	Hooked pole
Syzygium jumoos Syzygium malaccense	Aceituna dulce	Č	Sweet pulp	X	Hooked pole or climbing
Olacaceae					Ü
Minquartia cf. guianensis (not M. guianensis)	Ниасари́	W	Sweet pulp (2 cm long)	No	From ground
Oxalidaceae					
Averrboa bilimbi	Limon chino	C	Refrescos	X	By hand or pole
Averrhoa carambola	Carambola	C	Refrescos	X	By hand or pole
Palmae				****	War
Astrocaryum chambira	Chambira	W	Starchy pulp	XX	Tree cut or pole
Astrocaryum jauari	Huiririma	W	Starchy pulp	X	Tree cut
Astrocaryum macrocarpum	Huicungo	W	Starchy pulp	X	Pole
Attalea tessmannii? (G29032)	Shapaja	W	Raw seed	No	Tree cut

Table 1. Continued.

	Vern. name					
Species <sup>a</sup>	(English name) <sup>b</sup>	Status <sup>c</sup>	Use	Sold in Iquitos <sup>d</sup>	Harvesting method  Climb adjacent tree with pole	
Bactris gasipaes	Pihuayo, pijuayo	С	Cooked starchy pulp, masato	XX		
Bactris brongniartii	Ñejilla	$\mathbf{W}$	Sweet pulp	X	By hand	
Bactris concinna	Ñejilla	W	Sweet pulp	X	By hand	
Bactris maraja	Ñejilla	W	Sweet pulp	X	By hand	
Elaeis guineensis	Palma aceitera	C	Oil from seed	X	Hooked pole	
Elaeis oleifera	Puma yarina, peloponte	w	Oil from seed, pulp cooked	No	Hooked pole	
Euterpe precatoria	Chonta, huasai	W	Refresco	No	Tree cut	
Euterpe sp. (G31674)	Chonta	w	Refresco	No	Tree cut	
Jessenia bataua	Ungurabui	w	Refresco, oil, ice cream	XX	Tree cut	
Jessenia baiaaa Mauritia flexuosa	Aguaje	W	Refresco, pulp, ice cream	XX	Tree cut	
Mauritiella cf. peruviana (G28864)	Aguajillo	w	Refresco, pulp	X	Tree cut	
Maximiliana maripa (V9185)	Shapajilla	W/C	Cooked starchy pulp (also seed)	No	From ground	
Oenocarpus mapora	Sinamillo	W	Refrescos, oil	X	Tree cut	
Oenocarpus minor (V5216)	Sinamillo	w	Refrescos	No	Tree cut	
Orbignya polysticha (V7756)		W	Seeds	No	Stemless	
	Shapaja Varina	W	Seeds and "milk"		Low tree	
Phytelephas macrocarpa	Yarina Vanina			X		
Phytelephas microcarpa	Yarina	W	Starchy fruits	X	Low tree	
Scheelea moorenii	Catirina, shebón	W	Raw seeds	X	Stemless	
Scheelea plowmanii	Shapaja	W	Raw seeds	X	Stemless	
Scheelea princeps? (V9185) Scheelea salazarii (G38074)	Shapajilla Shapaja	W W	Pulp Raw seeds	No No	From ground With pole	
assifloraceae		****	n		- · ·	
Passiflora candolleana	Granadilla sapo	W	Pulp	No	By hand	
Passiflora edulis	Maracuyá	C	Refresco	X	By hand	
Passiflora quadrangularis	Tumbo	C/W	Refresco	X	By hand	
Passiflora nitida	Granadilla	W	Refresco	X	By hand	
Passiflora vespertilio osaceae	Granadilla	W	Refresco	X	By hand	
Prunus avium ubiaceae	Cerezo (cherry)	С	Fleshy pulp	No	Low branches	
(Alibertia edulis						
not used locally)						
Genipa americana	Huito	W/C	Sweet pulp and alcoholic drink	X	From ground	
Coffea arabica	Café	C	Coffee	X	Shrub	
(Borojoa not used locally) (Posoqueria latifolia not used locally)						
utaceae						
Citrus sinensis	Naranjo (orange)	С	Pulp, jugo	$\mathbf{X}$	Pole	
	Limón (lime)	c	Refresco	X	Pole	
Citrus aurantifolia		_				
Citrus reticulata	Tangerina (tangerine)	C C	Pulp	X X	Pole	
Citrus medica	Citrón		Refresco	X	Pole	
Citrus limonia	Limón sutil	C	Pulp		Pole	
Citrus paradisi	Toronja (grapefruit)	С	Pulp	X	Pole	
(Paullinia cupana = guarana, not grown locally but bottled drink from Brazil						
is drunk)						
Talisia cerasina (V6358)	Virote huayo	w	Fleshy pulp	No	Tree bent over	
potaceae <i>Micropholis egensis</i>	Caimitillo, quinilla	w	Sweet pulp	No	From ground or	
Micropholis venulosa	Caimitillo	w	Sweet pulp	No	bending branche From ground or	
Doutenia admits	Caimito	CAVI	Servent mula	vv	bending branche	
Pouteria caimito	Caimito	C/W	Sweet pulp	XX	Climb tree	
Pouteria macrophylla	Lucuma	C	Sweet pulp, ice cream	X	Climb tree	
Pouteria sp. (V11877)	Caimitillo	W	Sweet pulp	No	Tree cut	
Pouteria cuspidata (G42807)	Caimitillo	W	Sweet pulp	No	From ground	
Pouteria laevigata (Diaz 441)	Caimitillo	W	Sweet pulp	No	From ground	
Pouteria multiflora (G42641)	Caimitillo	W	Sweet pulp	No	From ground	
Pouteria plicata (G18349)	Caimitillo	W	Sweet pulp	No	From ground	

Table 1. Continued.

Species <sup>a</sup>	Vern. name (English name) <sup>b</sup>	· Status <sup>c</sup>	Use	Sold in Iquitos <sup>d</sup>	Harvesting method		
Pouteria procera (G29002)	ocera (G29002) Caimitillo		Sweet pulp	No	From ground		
Pouteria spp. (ca. 7)	Caimitillo	W	Sweet pulp	No	From ground		
Solanaceae					•		
Capsicum frutescens	<i>Ají</i> (hot pepper)	С	Condiment	$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}$	Shrub		
Cyphomandra bartwegii	Tomate de arbol, gallinazo panga	W	Refresco	No	Hand or pole		
Lycopersicon esculentum	Tomate	С	Pulp	XX	Herb		
Physalis angulata	Bolsa mullaca (ground cherry)	W	Pulp	X	Herb		
Solanum jamaicense	Coconilla con espinas	$\mathbf{w}$	Pulp	No	Shrub		
Solanum stramonifolium	Coconilla	W	Refresco	X	Shrub		
Solanum sessiliflorum	Cocona	C	Refresco	X	Shrub		
Sterculiaceae							
Herrania nitida	Cacabuillo, flor de araña	W	Pulp	No	Cauliflorous		
Theobroma bicolor	Macambo	С	Refresco, insipid pulp, cooked seeds	X	Hooked pole		
Theobroma cacao	Cacao	W/C	Chocolate, pulp	X	Hooked pole		
Theobroma obovata	Cacabuillo	$\mathbf{W}$	Pulp, seed	X	Hooked pole		
Theobroma grandiflorum	Copuassú	C	Refresco, pulp	X	Hooked pole		
Theobroma subincanum	Cacabuillo	$\mathbf{W}$	Pulp	No	Hooked pole, tree cu		
Verbenaceae			-		- '		
Lantana trifolia	Tunchi albaca	$\mathbf{W}$	Small berry	No	By hand		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Vouchers cited only for taxonomic problems; V = Vasquez collection number; G = Gentry collection number.

were reported by van den Berg (1984) as being sold in the Ver-o-peso market at Belem, Brazil.

There are interesting similarities and differences between the fruit species eaten at Iquitos and those eaten in Amazonian Brazil. The fruits of 37 families are listed by Cavalcante (1972, 1974, 1979) as consumed in Amazonian Brazil, although five of these families are different from the 39 whose fruits are consumed in the Iquitos area. Cavalcante reports that 167 species of fruits are consumed in Amazonian Brazil, nearly the same number of edible species we report here for the Iquitos area. Cavalcante's breakdown between wild-harvested (121, including 19 also cultivated) and exclusively cultivated (45) species is also nearly identical to the Iquitos area data. Moreover, Cavalcante lists the same families as at Iquitos - i.e., Palmae, Leguminosae, Myrtaceae, and Sapotaceae — as having the most edible fruit species. However, there is surprisingly little overlap between the actual species of wild-harvested fruits of Amazonian Brazil and those of the Iquitos area. Only one-fourth (32 of 121) of the wild-harvested fruit species listed by Cavalcante for Brazil are also wild-harvested at Iquitos, although 80 percent of his cultivated fruit species are also consumed at Iquitos. These differences are not due entirely to phytogeographical differences, since at least 15 of the wild-harvested species listed by Cavalcante occur near Iquitos but to our knowledge are not eaten. Similarly, of the 15 presumably wild-harvested species listed by van den Berg (1984) as among the 43 mostappreciated fruit species at Belem, only 5 are eaten around Iquitos (one of these, the Brazil nut, exclusively from cultivated trees), while the cultivated fruits of the Iquitos area are nearly the same as those cultivated at Belem, with only four of the Belem-cultivated fruit species — mamey, coconut, sapote, and tamarindo — absent from the Iquitos market. We may safely conclude, then, not only that many species of wild fruits are eaten in the Iquitos area, but that many of these are different from those consumed elsewhere, even in nearby parts of Amazonia.

Some of the wild-harvested fruit species listed in Table 1 are eaten only occasionally. Others are harvested only for consumption by a campesino and his immediate family, without, to our knowledge, ever being offered for sale. However, of the 167 fruit species included in Table 1, 52 wild-harvested species are sold in the Iquitos market, at least occasionally. Table 2 records the fruits we observed to be sold fairly regularly in the market, along with their stated prices. These may be regarded as species that have reached a stage of incipient

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> English names in parentheses when different from Spanish.

 $<sup>^{</sup>c}W = wild; C = cultivated; W/C = both wild and cultivated.$ 

 $<sup>^{</sup>d}X$  = observed sold in Mercado Belén, Iquitos; XX = very important fruit in Mercado Belén.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> Formerly sold in market but not observed in recent years.

f Only cultivated downriver near Leticia.

Table 2. Prices for some common wild-harvested fruits in Iquitos market, Feb. 1987.

Spondias mombin	½ kg for 10 intis
	5 intis/bag (ca. 40 frt.)
Spondias dulcis	2 intis/frt.
Couma macrocarpa	1 inti/frt.
Lacmellea peruviana	10 frts./inti
Quararibea cordata	5 intis/frt.
Rheedia benthamiana	3 intis/bag (24 frt.)
Rheedia gardneriana	5 intis/bag (27 frt.)
Grias neuberthii	10 intis/frt. (ca. 300 gm)
Gustavia longifolia	3 intis/frt.
Inga dumosa	2 frts./inti
Inga edulis	5 intis/frt.
Inga spectabilis	5 intis/frt.
Inga minutula	2 frts./inti
Pourouma	20 intis/maceta ( = ca. 2
cecropiifolia	inflor.)
Myrciaria dubia	5 intis/bag (ca. 25 frts.) (80–100 intis/20 kilo box)
Astrocaryum	5–10 intis/plate (ca. 9 frts./inti)
chambira	(peeled = 1 sol each additional)
Astrocaryum jauari	20 frts./inti
Astrocaryum	
macrocarpum	5 intis/plate (ca. 15 frts.)
Jessenia bataua	½ kg/5 intis; 50 kg./200 intis
Mauritia flexuosa	3 frts./10 intis; 450 intis/50 kg
Orbignya polysticha	2 intis/inflor. (4–5 frts.)
Phytelephas microcarpa	1 inti/frt.
Genipa americana	3 intis/frt.
Theobroma bicolor	15–20 intis/frt.
Theobroma cacao	5–10 intis/frt. (only sell
	wild-harvested frts.)
Physalis angulata	1 inti/bag (ca. 40 frts.)
Solanum	,
stramonifolium	ca. 15 frts./inti
Solanum sessiliflorum	5 intis/bag (ca. ½ kg.)

commercialization. Altogether, over half the fruit species sold in the Iquitos market are wild-harvested. If fruits used as vegetables (i.e., cucumbers, tomatoes, etc.) or starchy staples (plantains, beans) are excluded, we estimate that about half of the fruit vendors in the Iquitos market (13 of 28 fruit venders in the first block of the Mercado Belén on 20 July 1987) sell wild-harvested fruits. Wild-harvested fruits are clearly an important product in Amazonian Peru, even in the urban market of Iquitos.

We have also made anecdotal observations of apparent changes in patterns of fruit consumption in Iquitos. We believe that the sale of wild-harvested fruits in the Iquitos market has increased significantly over the last 10 years. This is due in part to their appreciation by former campesinos, accustomed to eating a wide variety of native fruits, who have recently immigrated to the city, and in part to their relatively low cost compared to fruits such as apples and oranges, which are imported to Iquitos from faraway parts of Peru.

Curiously, the wild-harvested fruits sold on the market are not necessarily the same species we have ob-

served to be preferred in the campo. Especially conspicuous are the absence of fruits much esteemed by forestdwelling campesinos, such as naranjo podrido (Parahancornia) and charapilla (Dipteryx), and the near-absence of inchi (Caryodendron) from the market. Perhaps some fruit species are so desirable that they are eaten on the spot? Or perhaps the esteemed but not commercialized species are produced in too small a quantity or are too subject to spoilage to be transported to the urban market? These might be just the fruit species most appropriate for development as new crops under the concept of a "forest-industrial complex" advanced by Myers (1984). Clearly, increasing the number of crop species utilized will broaden the agricultural base and help provide insurance against disaster resulting from plagues, market vagaries, or drought (e.g., Balick & Gershoff 1981). Moreover, many of the littleknown fruit species are adapted to marginal environments where few traditional crops flourish.

Already native fruit trees such as the palms Jessenia, Oenocarpus, and Mauritia are being widely touted (e.g., Balick 1981; Anonymous 1985) as potential new tree crops (Balick & Gershoff 1981; Balick 1982), and efforts are being made to establish experimental plantations of such species (e.g., Balick 1979; Clement & Mora Urpi 1987). Although there will obviously be a long lag between experimental plantations and crop production, more immediate production could be achieved from existing wild-growing native trees. The progression from forest tree to cultivated crop can be regarded as having five steps: (1) occasional in situ consumption, (2) carrying home of wild-harvested fruits for local consumption, (3) sale of wild-harvested fruits on the urban market, (4) use of the species as a dooryard crop, and (5) use of the species as a plantation crop (e.g., Caballero 1987). The first three of these steps, the ones pertinent to this paper, all belong to what Bates (1985) calls the tertiary pool of exclusively wild-harvested crops, the uses of many of which have not yet been reported (Toledo 1987).

Several of the more popular Iquitos-area fruit species have reached the transition stage from wild-harvested to dooryard crop (Padoch et al. 1985; Hiraoka 1986), or the secondary pool of Bates (1985). Padoch et al. (1985: 50) estimate that 63 percent of the annual income of campesino families at Tamshiyacu, near Iquitos, is derived from fruits from agroforestry, mostly *umari* (*Pouraqueiba sericea*), but also palms, avocados (*Persea americana*), Brazil nuts (*Bertholletia excelsa*), and citrus. According to Hiraoka (1986), these dooryard plantations are a product of the last 35 years, prior to which the local populace met cash needs by exploiting forest products and cultivating barbasco for fish poison.

The highly esteemed fruit species that are beginning to be occasionally cultivated would seem to be precisely those whose production should increase as de-

mand and markets grow, potentially giving rise to new export crops and new industries. Indeed, this has already happened with the *aguaje* palm (*Mauritia*), which produces one of the best local ice cream flavors in Iquitos; in 1975, export of a limited quantity of *aguaje* pulp to Japan as a novelty ice cream flavor was begun. Biologists pointed with pride to this promising development as proof that new forest products have export value.

Even on the local market the cash value of wild-harvested fruits can be significant. Peters (1988; Peters et al. 1989) has begun to document the commercial use of this kind of resource. For example, Peters (personal communication) estimates that the *camu camu* harvested from wild populations in the Jenaro Herrera area yearly amounts to \$167 per ha or a total cash value of \$10,000 per year for a 60 ha study area. Moreover, we (Peters et al. 1989; see also Gentry 1986) have shown that the value of fruits produced by a typical ha of Amazonian forest near Iquitos is \$650 per year; indeed fruit and latex represent over 90 percent of the total market value of the forest, a present net value of \$6,330 per ha as compared to a present net value of \$490 per ha for timber.

In Tables 1 and 2 we document the use of a wide variety of wild fruits in the Iquitos area. Padoch (1988) has also emphasized the substantial economic benefits that arise from the sale of one of these fruits, the aguaje palm, the commercialization of which she estimates to support 500 Iquitos families, at least partially. We estimate that at least one-tenth of the diet of many Iquitosarea campesinos comes from wild-harvested fruits. Although this figure is admittedly subjective and highly subject to seasonal fluctuation (Padoch 1987, 1988), it is abundantly clear that wild-harvested fruits play a major economic role in the Iquitos area. In the extreme case, we have observed that some campesinos and forest laborers such as woodcutters may subsist almost entirely on wild-harvested fruits such as ungurabui (Jessenia), charapilla (Dipteryx), or metabuayo (Caryodendron) for a day or more at a time. Even in the city of Iquitos the diet of the poorer people includes a substantial complement of wild fruits, although more affluent people tend to use less fruit, and most of it is from cultivated species.

In summary, it seems clear that, even in their natural state, tropical forests of Amazonian Peru are capable of producing a significant and sustainable yield of many kinds of wild fruits. Moreover, some of these native tree species show definite potential for more intensive, even plantation-style, agronomic development that could lead to important new tree crops (Padoch et al. 1985; Hiraoka 1986; Myers 1986). The diversity of these forests (Gentry 1986, 1988) is often considered an economic disadvantage, but this plethora of species could be converted into an economic advantage by utilizing

their fruits. To the producer, one of the most obvious advantages of high diversity is the far broader agricultural base than exists in traditional agriculture; to consumers, perhaps the greatest benefit is the enrichment of access to many new and delightful tastes. To the pragmatic conservationist, increased appreciation of the value of tropical forests and their products is a key component to developing sound management strategies based on sustainable use rather than exploitation.

### **Misuse of Incipient Crop Species**

While much recent conservation interest has focused on the positive aspects of a local Amazonian economy based on forest products and agroforestry (e.g., Padoch et al. 1985; Hiraoka 1986; Myers 1984, 1986), the potential negative aspects of such an economy have been largely overlooked. There is an underlying assumption among conservationists that if we can only get rid of the temperate-style agronomist's obsession with mechanized large-scale farming of monocultures, we can learn from aboriginal groups or other forest dwellers who live in harmony with the forest to use it as a sustainable resource (e.g., Posey 1984; Prance et al. 1987). Implicit in this conservation strategy is the assumption that, given the demands of modern society and today's population pressures, a mechanism can be found to expand indigenous technologies and products to support a broader consumer base. In the following section of this paper we present evidence that this assumption may be overly optimistic in the case of wild-harvested fruits. If developing new markets for tropical forest fruits is to be an effective conservation strategy, the new products must be discovered and markets for them must be developed. The most critical step for conservation, however, may be an intermediate one that has received relatively little attention — preventing the destruction of the trees themselves during the harvesting process.

Perhaps the most promising of the wild-harvested fruit species is the aguaje palm (Fig. 1), which grows in extensive pure stands called aguajales in permanently swampy areas where conventional agriculture would be impossible (ONERN 1976; Padoch 1988). Curiously, production, instead of increasing with increasing value, seems to be decreasing. In February 1987, not one aguaje fruit was to be found in the Iquitos market, although there were still a few being sold by sidewalk vendors (at the inflated price of 3.3 intis/aguaje). Chupetes de aguaje, formerly the favorite local ice cream bar sold by dozens of sidewalk vendors, were no longer available either. Although some of this scarcity is due to seasonality of production, even at the peak of the 1987 aguaje season from July to September there appeared to be fewer aguaje fruits than in the past, and the price remained relatively high (never less than 0.6 intis/ aguaje). In 1988 the cycle seems to be repeating itself.



Figure 1. Mauritia flexuosa (aguaje), a disappearing resource. A. Nondestructive harvesting of aguaje via bamboo "ladder." Arrow indicates boy harvesting infructescence. B. Bowl of aguaje fruits for sale in Iquitos market.

The same phenomenon has taken place with *ungurabui* (*Jessenia*), which as of February 1987 was on sale by a single vendor in the Iquitos market. *Naranjo podrido*, considered by some to be among the most delectable fruits of the Iquitos region, has been virtually absent from the market for several years although it

used to be sold frequently (J. Torres, personal communication). Most of the wild-harvested fruits with the clearest potential as major crops (Table 3) seem to be decreasing in availability.

In the case of aguaje, fruit harvesting by cutting down the trees is clearly responsible for the current scarcity of the nascent resource. Very few harvesters of aguaje fruits climb the tree; instead they cut a tree down to obtain the fruits, even when the fruits are low enough to reach with a ladder. Years of such destructive harvesting have decimated the aguaje populations near human population centers. Mauritia is dioecious, and near Iquitos, the once extensive aguajales or Mauritia swamps have been converted to pure stands of useless male trees. Female Mauritia trees are essentially extinct locally except for occasional cultivated trees. This wholesale destruction is now apparent even in relatively isolated caserias. For example, at San José de Parinari on the Río Marañón, aguaje harvesting has been a major local industry. However, instead of having nearby fruits to harvest as they did a few years ago, the harvesters now have to spend 3-4 days walking to reach Mauritia with fruits. As a result of destructive harvesting, the harvest of aguaje is dwindling. Similar situations at other caserias have led to the current acute scarcity of aguaje in Iquitos. Indeed, Padoch (1988) reports that aguajales as far away as the Napo and Chambira rivers are now being harvested due to exhaustion of the aguajales nearer to Iquitos.

Some gatherers now go even farther afield. Aguaje also grows in Ecuador, where it is rarely consumed. Recently Ecuadorian forestry officials were amazed to discover a large dugout canoe completely full of *Mauritia* fruits in Ecuador, bound for the Iquitos market about 800 km away (R. Peck, personal communication).

The cost of *Mauritia* fruits in Iquitos has climbed from an average of about 10 *aguaje* fruits/1000 soles five years ago to 3 *aguaje* fruits/10,000 soles (= 10 intis) today. This price increase is far greater than the 527 percent general inflation rate (G. Mayer, personal communication) over the same time period. Adjusted for inflation, there has been approximately a sixfold increase in the price of an *aguaje* fruit over five years! *Aguaje* ice cream bars have all but disappeared; the *chupetes de aguaje* now available are mostly diluted with flour and pumpkin pulp. Several of the local businesses that formerly made ice cream *chupetes* have shut down since their main raw material is now much more expensive and only seasonally available. The promising export of *aguaje* pulp to Japan has ceased.

The same process has taken place with *ungurahui* palms (*Jessenia bataua*), which are now much less common near population centers than formerly. In isolated areas this is a dominant species on certain soil types; for example, in an inventoried ha of forest near Mishana on the Rio Nanay there were 38 trees of

		Fruits Available											
No.	Name	$\overline{J}$	F	М	A	М	J	J	A	S	o	N	$\overline{D}$
1	Mauritia flexuosa			\ ,								$\overline{}$	
2	Jessenia bataua	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	<i>\</i>	<i>\</i>	, /	<i>\</i>	/	Ţ	, /	J	J	$\sqrt{}$
3	Myrciaria dubia	<i></i>	, /	/	, /	•	,	•	•	·	•	ý	j
4	Spondias mombin	<i>\</i>	/	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	$\checkmark$						•	•
5	Astrocaryum chambira	$\sqrt{}$	J	, /	, /	J							/
6	Grias neuberthii	<i></i>	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	<i>\</i>	J	$\checkmark$					$\sqrt{}$	j
7	Rheedia spp.	<i>\</i>	/	, /	<i>\</i>	J	·					•	,
8	Phytelephas macrocarpa		·	/		ý	/						•
9	Physalis angulata				•	•	,	$\sqrt{}$	$\sqrt{}$	/			
10	Bactris spp.	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				•	•	•			<b>√</b>

Table 3. Most frequently consumed wild-harvested fruits of the Iquitos area (in approximate order of importance).

Jessenia (several more had been cut down), making this the commonest tree species (Gentry 1986). Stands of forest with abundant Jessenia, sometimes called ungarabuales, have now disappeared from the Iquitos area except for a few small semicultivated stands.

11

12

13 14

15

Couepia spp.

Gnetum spp.

Couma macrocarpa Parabancornia peruviana

Rhigospira quadrangularis

The situation is even worse for naranjo podrido (Parabancornia). Once common, naranjo podrido has become very rare in settled areas of Peruvian Amazonia. Within a 50 km radius of Iquitos, it is now probably extinct. The cutting of naranjo podrido trees is especially tragic since the fruits do not mature synchronously; a tree that can bear 100 or more fruits is sacrificed to obtain perhaps 10 mature fruits and 10 that can be stored to await ripening, while the great majority of immature fruits are simply discarded. Not surprisingly this delicious fruit essentially disappeared from the Iquitos market about 15 years ago (J. Torres, personal communication), although very rarely a few fruits still reach the market (C. Padoch, personal communication). Similarly, populations of yabuarhuayo colorado (Rhigospira) and leche caspi (Couma) have been much reduced from former levels, although the latter, part of whose disappearance was caused by cutting thousands of individuals for latex for chewing gum, is sometimes cultivated or semicultivated in the Iquitos area.

Destructive fruit harvesting technology seems generally to be the reason that these potentially commercial fruits are becoming less available. When a large enough demand is generated for a particular fruit, harvesting practices change. Instead of climbing a tree or using a hooked pole to cut down the fruits or infrutescence as they do with occasionally consumed species or with cultivated trees, the local campesinos begin to cut down the trees to obtain fruits for marketing. Thus, the harvest of these highly esteemed but relatively underutilized species is quite different from that used for other fruits eaten in Loreto.

This would seem to be a classic case of the "Tragedy

of the Commons" (Hardin 1968). While our observations are mostly anecdotal, the trend seems clear. The recent increases in demand for *aguaje* and *ungarahui* have led to wholesale felling of the wild-growing trees near major population centers and loss of potential new forest resources even as conservation efforts begin to focus on development of rational plans for sustained yield of these same forest products.

We feel that these observations of fruit collecting practices by campesinos in the Iquitos area have important socioeconomic implications. On the one hand there is a wealth of potentially utilizable wild-growing fruit trees in a tropical forest whose commercial development could enrich the lives of fruit-lovers throughout the world. On the other hand, efforts to develop these forest-harvested fruits as part of a balanced conservation and economic strategy for Amazonia must attempt to modify the critical behavioral bottleneck in the process of conversion of potential new crops from local consumption to commercial exploitation. As so often seems to be the case, the most severe problems for tropical forest management and long-term utilization are not biological but social and political.

Relatively ineffective steps to control the exploitation of the two most important fruit species, *Mauritia* and *Jessenia*, have already been taken. The Iquitos Distrito Forestal imposes a tax of .0.2 *intis* per kg for extraction rights and .0.02 per kg destined for use in reforestation. However, to our knowledge these taxes are rarely paid, and nothing has been done to attempt to reforest already decimated areas or to educate the population about the importance of sustainable harvesting techniques. Nothing whatsoever has been done to regulate the harvesting of any of the other fruit species.

Wild-harvested fruits have the potential to be a major sustained-yield resource from tropical forests, one whose exploitation could provide economic incentives for tropical forest conservation. However, this potential

may be lost in the Iquitos area if current trends continue. We hope that our documentation of the wild-fruit resource base in Amazonian Peru and what is happening to it will provide impetus for developing more rational harvesting techniques, and especially for beginning to incorporate a much-needed conservation ethic into the local educational and economic systems.

# **Acknowledgments**

We thank the Mellon Foundation and USAID (DAN-5542-G-SS-1086-00) for support of our Peruvian field work, the General Directorate of Forestry and Fauna of the Peruvian Ministry of Agriculture for authorizations, Franklin Ayala and the Universidad Nacional de Amazonía Peruana for logistic support, and H. Iltis, G. Prance, C. Paddoch, and P. Raven for reviewing the manuscript.

### Literature Cited

Anonymous, 1985. Recursos Biologicos Nuevos. Boletin PIRB, Interciencia Bol. Infor. 2:1–8.

Balick, M. J. 1979. Amazonian oil palms of promise: a survey. Economic Botany 33:11–28.

Balick, M. J. 1981. *Jessenia bataua* and *Oenocarpus* species: native Amazonian palms as new sources of edible oil. Pages 141–155 in E. Pryde, L. Princen, and K. Mukherjes, editors. New sources of fats and oils. American Oil Chemists' Society, Champaign, Illinois.

Balick, M. J. 1982. Palmas Neotropicales: nuevas fuentes de aceites comestibles. Interciencia 7:25–29.

Balick, M. J., and S. Gershoff. 1981. Nutritional evaluation of the *Jessenia bataua* palm: source of high quality protein and oil from tropical America. Economic Botany **35**:261–271.

Bates, D. M. 1985. Plant utilization: patterns and prospects. Economic Botany 39:241–265.

Caballero, J. 1987. Etnobotánica y desarrollo: la búsqueda de nuevos recursos vegetales. Mem. Simposio de Etnobotánica, IV Congreso Latinoamericano de Botanica 79–96.

Cavalcante, P. B. 1972, 1974, 1979. Frutas Comestiveis da Amazonia I, II, III. Publ. Avulsas Mus. Goeldi 17, 27, 33.

Clement, C. R., and J. E. Mora Urpi. 1987. Pejibaye palm, *Bactris gasipaes* (Arecaceae): multi-use potential for the lowland Neotropics. Economic Botany 41:302–311.

Gentry, A. H. 1986. Sumario de patrones fitogeográficos neotropicales y sus implicaciones para el desarrollo de la Amazonia. Revista Academia Colombiana Ciencias 16:101–116.

Gentry, A. H. 1988. Tree species richness of upper Amazonian forests. Proceedings National Academy of Sciences **85:**156–159.

Hardin, G. J. 1968. The tragedy of the commons. Science **162**:1243–1248.

Hiraoka, M. 1986. Zonation of mestizo riverine farming systems in northeast Peru. National Geographic Research 2:354–371.

Myers, N. 1984. The primary source: tropical forests and our future. Norton, New York, London.

Myers, N. 1986. Forestland farming in western Amazonia: stable and sustainable. Forest Ecology and Management 15:81–93

ONERN. 1976. Inventario, evaluación e integración de los recursos naturales de la selva, zona Iquitos, Nauta, Requena y Colonia Angamos. Oficina Nacional de Evaluación de Recursos Naturales, Lima, Peru.

OTA. 1984. Technologies to sustain tropical forest resources. U.S. Congress, Washington, D.C. OTA-F-214.

Padoch, C. 1987. Risky business. Natural History 10:56-65.

Padoch, C. 1988. Aguaje (*Mauritia flexuosa* L.f.) in the economy of Iquitos, Peru. Advances in Economic Botany 6:214–224.

Padoch, C., J. Chota I., W. DeJong, and J. Unruh. 1985. Amazonian agroforestry: a market-oriented system in Peru. Agroforestry Systems 3:47–58.

Peters, C. 1988. The economic botany of camu-camu in Peruvian Amazonia. Manuscript.

Peters, C., A. Gentry, and R. Mendelsohn. 1989. Valuation of a tropical forest in Peruvian Amazonia. Nature 339:655–656.

Posey, D. A. 1984. A preliminary report on diversified management of tropical forest by the Kayapo Indians of the Brazilian Amazon. Pages 112–126 in G. Prance and J. Kallunki, editors. Ethnobotany in the Neotropics. Advances in Economic Botany 1.

Prance, G. 1972. An ethnobotanical comparison of four tribes of Amazonian Indians. Acta Amazonica 2:7–27.

Prance, G., W. Balee, B. Boom, and R. L. Carneiro. 1987. Quantitative ethnobotany and the case for conservation in Amazonia. Conservation Biology 1:296–310.

Sims, L. S., and B. B. Peterkin. 1987. Contributions of fruits and vegetables to human nutrition. Pages 9–17 in B. Quebedeaux and F. Bliss, editors. Horticulture and human health. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.

Toledo, V. H. 1987. La etnobotánica en latinoamérica: vicisitudes, contextos, desafíos. Mem. Simposio de Etnobotánica, IV Congreso Latinoamericano de Botánica 13–34.

van den Berg, M. E. 1984. Ver-o-Peso: the ethnobotany of an Amazonian market. Pages 140–149 in G. Prance and J. Kallunki editors. Ethnobotany in the Neotropics. Advances in Economic Botany 1.

Vickers, W. T., and T. Plowman. 1984. Useful plants of the Siona and Secoya Indians of Eastern Ecuador. Fieldiana, Botany, n. ser. 15:1–63.